



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/719,641

11/21/2003

Andrew Wason

17898

1257

27574 7590 04/30/2008
KLEINBERG & LERNER, LLP
2049 CENTURY PARK EAST
SUITE 1080
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

EXAMINER

CAO, DIEM K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2194

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/30/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/719,641	Applicant(s) WASON ET AL.	
	Examiner DIEM K. CAO	Art Unit 2194	

All Participants:

(1) DIEM K. CAO.

(2) Mr. Marvin Kleinberg (Reg. No. 24,108).

Date of Interview: 27 April 2008

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 101, 35 USC 112 second paragraph, Double Patenting

Claims discussed:
28-31

Prior art documents discussed:
NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed amendment to claims to overcome the above rejections. Applicant agreed to amend the claims and authorized examiner to change the claims in the Examiner's Amendment and filed Terminal Disclaimer.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)